

Manitou Incline Task Force
October 6, 2010

Task Force Constituency Response to Draft Plan

<i>Constituency</i>	<i>Comments / Issues</i>
Incline Club	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some social trails should be closed and others left open - Support opening trail on Jenkins' property - The more trails the better
Colorado Springs Parks, Recreation and Cultural Services Advisory Board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Concerned about City of Colorado Springs' staffing capacity - Wonder about use of TOPS funding
Colorado Springs Utilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Maintenance on the water line is typically performed every 12 years; it's due for maintenance around 2012 - 2015
Manitou Springs Parking Authority	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Shuttles may not be realistic because people may not be willing to use them - Consider moving the Barr Trail lot gate down to the intersection of Hydro and Ruxton to cut traffic on Hydro - Alleviate as much traffic on Ruxton as possible
Lower Ruxton residents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Would like the church parking issues addressed in the Plan - The bulk of the Plan looks really good - Concerned that using the Jenkins property will draw more people to Ruxton
Manitou Springs Fire Department	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Love the safety coding system; it would be good to have both numbers and colors to indicate jurisdictions - Designate an emergency response staging site in Stage A, with options to adjust as needed in Stages B and C
Manitou Springs Open Space Advisory Board	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Like the trail network approach - Concerned about a waste of money for the Jenkins property - Worried about steep grades

Cog	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Like the Plan in general - It's key to identify the 10-15 spaces to be traded and where they'll be and to identify the exact location of the new trailhead; Cog has a problem with lack of spaces for the handicapped so the trade would work well for that; there's no problem as long as there is quid pro quo. Don't want the 10-15 spaces used for parking but OK if it's for emergency response staging - Cog needs to maintain access to its maintenance building(s) - Does not want to relinquish spaces at (???)—see Priscilla's e-mail notes, please) - Cog does not want any more trails on their property - Cog wants to use the 50 parking spaces in Zone B year-round at its discretion and reduce it to 40 spaces; spaces need to be cleared for Cog use by 9 a.m. - The agreement between the Cog and CSU needs to be ironed out and put in place soon to address liability issues - The Cog would like some assurances of some financial help for fencing, etc. for closing the Incline if that were to happen - Is revenue generation in Stage A adequate for opening the Incline? - Would like parking fees on Ruxton but free for Ruxton residents
Trails and Open Space Coalition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Showed the Plan to lots of people in the office and got good response - Friends groups can help with maintenance; concerned about sustainability of trails and staff capacity to maintain them - Don't forget there are a lot of similarities between the Incline and ski areas - Love the Plan overall
<u>Citizen comment</u> Matt Carpenter	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Need to address restroom facilities - Follow up with Search and Rescue on coordination - Awesome work on the Plan